

The Strategy behind a Five-Star Market-Neutral Fund

June 14, 2016

by Robert Huebscher

Cognios Capital is an independent employee owned quantitative investment management firm that serves as an investment adviser to a mutual fund, private funds, institutional clients and individuals. Cognios creates investment strategies that follow rigorous quantitative processes. These processes utilize proprietary research based on the fundamental factors that drive investment returns.

Jonathan Angrist is its president and chief investment officer and lead manager of the Cognios Market Neutral Large Cap Fund (COGIX). Prior to co-founding Cognios Capital, Jonathan was a co-owner of and portfolio manager at Helzberg Angrist Capital (HAC), the predecessor firm to Cognios. HAC was an alternative asset investment firm specializing in deep value, long/short equity hedge fund management. Prior to HAC, Jonathan was a portfolio manager for a mutual fund company, The Buffalo Funds, where he launched and managed the Buffalo Micro Cap Fund.

I spoke with Jonathan on June 6.

Bob: Your firm was founded in 2008. What is the history of Cognios Capital, and how did you come to manage the Market-Neutral Large Cap Fund?

Jonathan: In a predecessor firm that I was running in 2005 with another partner, we were doing long-short equity. Over time, I decided that the best way to add value for clients was with market-neutral equity. We added a market-neutral equity strategy close to five years ago. That became the primary focus of the firm.

We were a small company but in 2012 we combined our operations with a company called Oxford Creek Capital. It was owned by a man named Jim Stowers. Jim's father founded American Century, the \$150 billion asset management company here in Kansas City. Jim was the CEO of that company for quite some time and then retired. He took a break and then started Oxford Creek. Jim and I knew each other and were both doing similar things and considered putting our businesses together. In 2012, we did that.

Bob: You mentioned that it's a market-neutral fund. Can you explain what that means and how it differs from a traditional long-only fund?

Jonathan: Long-only strategies own stock and are usually highly correlated with whatever index they are trying to track. Long-only funds owning stocks tend to have meaningful relationships with the S&P 500® over time.

There are really two big differences between a long-only strategy and a market-neutral fund like ours. Market-neutral is a subset of long-short equity strategies. Long-short equity means that not only are you long (buying and holding stocks like long-only funds), but you also take short positions. Long positions generally make money as the stock market goes up. Short positions generally make money as those stocks go down.

But there is another way to make money being short, and that is if you are long a stock and short a stock; the short stock doesn't necessarily have to go down to make money. You just need the long stock to go up a lot more than the



Jonathan Angrist

short stock goes up. Similarly, if the whole market is going down, so the long positions are going down and the short positions are going down, if the short positions lose less money than the long positions, then the fund still makes money.

When you are just shorting stocks, you are hoping that the stock goes down. But with a long-short portfolio of stocks, the goal often becomes having a portfolio of short positions that underperform your long positions. They don't have to go down; they just have to go up less.

Market-neutral is a special case of long-short, where you take enough short positions to offset all of the market exposure, or beta, in your long book. Let's say you have a position of 50 stocks and that is your long-only portfolio. Market-neutral means you want the long portfolio to behave differently

from the overall stock market. If left alone, most stock portfolios of 50 positions have a reasonable correlation to the S&P 500® or whatever index they are tracking. But as you add more and more short positions, the correlation between that portfolio and its index starts to decline. The goal of market neutral is to make money, but in a way that is completely unrelated to what is going on in the overall market.

Bob: When you measure the degree to which you succeed at being market-neutral, do you look at the correlation to the S&P 500® or the beta of your fund, or are you looking at some combination of those two things?

Jonathan: The primary measurement is beta. A beta of zero, which is our goal, means that the return on my portfolio is completely independent of the return of the market. One test of the power and meaning of portfolio beta is the R-squared or the correlation to the index. Those are two related measures.

In general, the answer to your question is that we look at all of them combined. But at the end of the day, we want low correlation, low R-squared and a beta near zero. That means we are running a portfolio whose returns don't really have anything to do with the overall return of the stock market.

Bob: What have the beta and the correlation of your fund been over the last several years?

Jonathan: The beta to the S&P 500® from the launch of the Fund through March 31, 2016 was 0.1. The R-squared was 2.47%. That says the variance of the stock market explained only 2.47% of the variance in returns of the mutual fund.

Bob: The Fund uses what you call ROTA/ROME®, a value-based

quantitative investment process that identifies companies whose intrinsic value has diverged significantly from the current market price of its stock. Can you explain in more detail how that works?

Jonathan: Those are the two most important metrics in making our investment decisions. ROTA stands for return on tangible assets. It is the profits that a company makes divided by its tangible assets. That is all the assets on the left-hand side of the balance sheet other than goodwill and other intangibles, but goodwill is the bulk of it. By excluding goodwill, it lets you compare businesses in the same industry and across industries. It lets you compare large, small, foreign and domestic companies.

“ ROTA stands for return on tangible assets. It is the profits that a company makes divided by its tangible assets. ”

ROTA tells you universally whether a business is good regardless of where it is, how big it is or if it's public or private. We believe it is the single best metric for telling you if a company is a good or bad business.

ROME tells you if a stock price is cheap or expensive. It is the return on market equity. Market equity is really just the stock price, so it's the return on the stock price or cash-flow yield. It is similar to a yield on a bond; it's the profit yield on a stock price. A higher yield—or a higher ROME—means it's a cheaper stock. We want to own high-ROTA companies that make a lot of money relative to their tangible assets. We want to buy them at the highest ROME—the cheapest price possible.

In our long book, we like stocks that are high ROTA and high ROME. In our short book, we like companies that are essentially the opposite.

Bob: Your portfolio has approximately 55% of its equity positions in industrials and technology. What has made those sectors attractive?

Jonathan: Our process is purely quantitative, which means we base our stock picking and our portfolio construction on a mathematical model that we built and let run. Obviously we monitor and manage it, but we don't use any portfolio manager gut instinct or discretion to override the model. It is my job to continuously try to make those models better, but the models pick the stocks. Today, the model likes industrial and IT stocks, and it likes

consumer staples and healthcare. There are also some sectors that it doesn't like.

But those preferences change over time, so you are right to ask what makes our model choose those things today. In a way, I've already answered that question. Those are high ROTA businesses that are trading at cheap prices, and that's what gets favored in our long book. The short book favors the opposite. We may be long some IT companies and short some IT companies. The net exposure is ultimately a function of what the model likes to put in the long book and what the model doesn't like to put in the short book.

Interestingly, the ROTAs for any particular company or industry—or even for the overall S&P 500®—

tend to be fairly stable over time. They can be cyclical, but if you look over a whole cycle, ROTAs tend to be fairly stable over time. What bounces around quite a bit are stock prices, and that is shown in the ROME.

The sector allocations in our long and short book and on a net basis shift over time. It has more to do with the stock prices of those companies than the underlying economic dynamics of the businesses. In general, the model takes a bit of a contrarian view in that whatever are the cheapest stocks of great businesses go in the long book, and whatever is most expensive of the worst companies go in the short book.

Bob: So your fund is rated five-star by Morningstar based on its strong three-year track record. Its three-year return has been 6.97% as of June 3, 2016, which placed it in the top 5% of its peer group. When you look at your performance attribution, what have been the key factors that have led to your out-performance?

Jonathan: I attribute it to ROTA and ROME; that is the core investment philosophy of everything we do. We focus on buying the stocks of companies that have great businesses, make a lot of money relative to their tangible assets and we try to buy the cheapest ones. That comprises our long book, and we go short businesses that don't make a lot of money and are trading at high prices.

It is a very simple strategy. That's the number one thing that has been driving our returns, our ROTA-ROME® investment philosophy.

Second, it's a very simple portfolio. We are long and short stocks that are constituents in the S&P 500®. There are no stock options,

futures, forwards or total-return swaps. There are no derivatives in the portfolio. That simplicity lowers the overall cost of the portfolio and it just makes it a more efficient way to manage money.

The last thing is that we run the portfolio on a beta-neutral basis. We are not just short one dollar of stocks for every dollar that we are long. We adjust the portfolio based

on how sensitive the long and short books are to overall movements in the stock market. That is calculated and reflected in its beta.

Bob: The fund's administrative expenses are capped at 1.95% for COGMX and 1.7% for the COGIX. The fund has a relatively high turnover, which is ranged from 155% to 491% over the last three years. I'm sure you've been asked about those numbers by advisors. What has been your response?

Jonathan: You are correct; those are the expenses directly related to managing and running the operations of the fund. Different third parties, like Morningstar, may show slightly different expense ratios, depending on what they include in their calculations.

The turnover in the portfolio is going to be higher than in a long-only portfolio because we use a little bit of leverage. In our long book, for every \$100 we invest in the mutual fund, we are going long \$120; we are borrowing \$20.

Plus, in order to be beta-neutral, we need about 70% in the short book. For the 120% long, we have to have almost 70% short, so

that's another 80% of the equity. If you invest \$100, we are going to be long \$120, short \$80; in total that is \$200. Our gross exposure is two-times that of a typical unlevered long-only portfolio. Everything else being equal, our turnover would be twice that of an unlevered long-only mutual fund.

Relative to other funds with our strategy, our turnover is not par-

“ ROME tells you if a stock price is cheap or expensive. It is the return on market equity. ”

ticularly high. Early in the life of the fund there were some large movements in and out. As a very small, new fund, those can drive turnover to rates that are not comparable to when it is running at size. Today we are running at \$115 million. I wouldn't expect turnover numbers that high. That is not consistent with the strategy.

Bob: I understand that one of the goals of the fund is to provide diversification outside of traditional stocks and bonds. Can you talk about how that works and, more generally, how advisors have been using this fund within their typical client asset allocations?

Jonathan: One of the number-one complaints that we have been hearing from investment advisors around the country is that asset classes that they were told or used to think were diversifying end up not diversifying at all when markets get choppy. Take MLPs, REITs, utilities, commodity funds or other nontraditional asset classes. When markets are stable or growing, those asset classes for whatever reason tend to have a low correlation to the S&P 500®. Advisors expect diversification if they add it to their portfolios, and they go

ahead and do that. But when the market goes down, all those things go down together.

Some people say that when the market goes down everything correlates to one. Another way of saying that is those correlations are bimodal; there is a certain correlation when the markets are flat to up, and there is a different correlation when the markets are going down. Right when advisors need the diversification the most they don't get it. That's the number one complaint we've been hearing.

But market-neutral equity is fundamentally different from those supposedly diversifying asset classes. Take utilities, MLPs or REITs for example. There is no reason why they have to behave differently when the market is going up versus down. There is no reason why the correlation has to be low. They are just stocks that other people happen to own. Market-neutral equity and, in particular, a beta-neutral market equity portfolio like ours is designed from the inside out to be uncorrelated to the market. There is no reason to believe that it will be correlated to the market when the market is going up, and there's no reason to believe it's going to be correlated with the market when the market goes down.

In fact, that is what we've seen.

The related advantage is that it can replace either the traditional equity or the fixed-income part of a portfolio. It's been easy for advisors to see how they can take a piece of their traditional equity portfolio and allocate to market-neutral equity because it feels equity-like to them.

But interestingly, what we have been hearing more and more is that advisors are also comfortable using the market-neutral equity portfolio to replace a piece of their fixed-income portfolio. The reason for this is that even though we are long and short stocks, we attempt to hedge out and remove the beta and end up with a return stream that looks very different from stocks. In fact, it feels a little bit more like a fixed income portfolio.

Advisors have said it's very hard to make money in fixed income. They don't want to go out any further than a year or two in terms of duration risk. If they are worried about interest rates rising over time, they feel like they are more likely to lose than make money in fixed income, and they are certainly not likely to make a lot. They've been allocating to market-neutral equity as a replacement for their traditional fixed income portfolio. That is the most creative use of the product these days.

Bob: What feedback have you had from advisors about your performance?

Jonathan: Many market-neutral equity strategies generate very low—zero to 2%—returns. What advisors needed was a market-neutral equity strategy that generated equity-like returns. They're willing to settle for something below equity-like returns, but it needs to be better than the zero to 2% that the market-neutral equity indices have been providing.

We've been generating mid-to-high-single-digit returns in the Fund with a lower standard deviation than equity markets, a very low beta and a very low R-squared. So while past performance doesn't guarantee future performance, for many advisors it has fit the bill and is exactly what they were looking for—returns that, at the end of the day, they can live with, that can make money when the market is going up and, in particular, that may be able to mitigate downside risk. Advisors want a solution that is designed from the inside out to be independent of the market. It's not just low correlation when the sun is shining, but no diversification when the market is declining; they want no correlation in all market environments.



COGNIOS
CAPITAL

Disclosures:

	Q2 2016	1 Year	3 Year (annualized)	Since Inception (Annualized)
COGMX	0.97%	11.08%	7.65%	6.90%
COGIX	1.05 %	11.31%	7.89%	7.16%
HFRX EH: MN	-2.41%	-0.59%	1.65%	1.59%

Past performance does not a guarantee future results. The performance data quoted represents past performance. The investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. You can obtain performance data current to the most recent month end by calling 855.254.6467 or by visiting www.cogniosfunds.com. Investor Class: Gross Expense Ratio - 5.92%, Net Expense Ratio - 3.97%, Adj. Net Expense Ratio - 1.95% as of 01/28/2016; Institutional Class: Gross Expense Ratio-5.68%, Net Expense Ratio - 3.72%, Adj. Net Expense Ratio - 1.70% as of 01/28/2016

The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the speaker and do not necessarily reflect the views of Cognios Capital, LLC or its employees.

Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. As with any mutual fund, there are risks to investing. The value of the Fund's assets will fluctuate as the equity market fluctuates, although the Beta-adjusted market neutral focus of the Fund should reduce the effect of general market fluctuations on the valuation of the Fund as a whole. Utilization of leverage, such as borrowings and shorting positions, involves certain risks to the Fund's shareholders, including potential for higher volatility of the net asset value ("NAV") of the Fund's shares and the relatively greater effect of portfolio holdings on the NAV of the shares. The Fund may not always be able to close out a short position on favorable terms. Short sales involve the risk that the Fund will incur a loss by subsequently buying a security at a higher price than the price at which it sold the security short. The Cognios Market Neutral Large Cap Fund is not suitable for all investors. Subject to [investment risks](#), including possible loss of the principal amount invested.

An investor should consider investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses carefully before investing. To obtain a [prospectus](#) which contains this and other information call 855.254.6467 or visit www.cogniosfunds.com. Read the [prospectus](#) carefully before investing.

The Cognios Market Neutral Large Cap Fund is distributed by ALPS Distributors, Inc., 1290 Broadway, Ste 1100, Denver, CO 80203. ALPS is not affiliated with Cognios Capital, Helzberg Angrist Capital (HAC), The Buffalo Funds, Oxford Creek Capital or American Century Investments.

Not FDIC Insured - No Bank Guarantee - May Lose Value.

Morningstar Rating

©2016 Morningstar. All Rights Reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

For each fund with at least a three-year history, Morningstar calculates a Morningstar Rating™ metric each month by subtracting the return on a 90-day U.S. Treasury Bill from the fund's load-adjusted return for the same period, and then adjusting this excess return for risk. The top 10% of the funds in each broad asset class receive 5 stars, the next 22.5% receive 4 stars, the next 35% receive 3 stars, the next 22.5% receive 2 stars and the bottom 10% receive 1 star. The Overall Morningstar Rating™ for a fund is derived from a weighted average of the performance figures associated with its three-, five- and ten-year (if applicable) Morningstar Rating™ metrics. The Cognios Market Neutral Large Cap Fund was rated against the following numbers of U.S.-domiciled Market Neutral funds over the following time periods: 114 funds in the last three years as of June 30, 2016. With respect to these Market Neutral funds, the Cognios Market Neutral Large Cap Fund (COGIX & COGMX) received a Morningstar Rating™ of 5 stars.